

Content

Course Code	Course Name	Semester	Theory	Practice	Lab	Credit	ECTS
SOC 519	Cities and Societies	2	3	0	0	3	6

Prerequisites	
Admission Requirements	

Language of Instruction	French
Course Type	Elective
Course Level	Masters Degree
Objective	In the theories of modernization, urbanization has been considered a key component of social change, and the city has become the central place and object of analysis. This course aims to analyze the various forms of urbanization by highlighting urban transformation in the neoliberal era.
Content	The first part of the course will be devoted to debates on urban fragmentation, highlighting the theoretical perspectives of urban sociology. Then we will discuss the transition from the industrial city to the city of services. We will continue with the main topics such as mobility, marginality, exclusion, segregation, as well as gentrification and the new social stratification linked to the current globalization. After examining some emerging contradictions within the current cities, we will analyze the tension between fixity and movement generating the incessant formation of social and spatial fields.
References	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Oğuz Işık & Melih Pınarcıoğlu (2008) "Not Only Helpless but also Hopeless: Changing Dynamics of Urban Poverty in Turkey, the Case of Sultanbeyli, Istanbul", European Planning Studies 16(10):1353-1370. https://wiki.zirve.edu.tr/sandbox/users/sinan.zeyneloglu/weblog/a985c/attachments/5727d/09654310802420060.pdf?sessionID=b23263c46aab696234127449c7653a4751ff06c - Bediz Yılmaz (2008) Türkiye'de sınıf-altı: Nöbetleşe yoksulluktan müebbet yoksulluğa, Toplum ve Bilim No.113: 127-145 - Polat S. Alpman (2016) Esmer Yakalılar: Kent-Sınıf-Kimlik ve Kurt Emeği, İletişim Yay. Utku Balaban. "The Enclosure of Urban Space and Consolidation of the Capitalist Land Regime in Turkish Cities" Urban Studies August 2011 vol. 48 no. 10. Pp.2162-2179 - Çağlar Keyder (2005), Globalization and Social Exclusion in Istanbul. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 29: 124-134. - Ünsal, Ö., & Kuyucu, T. (2010). Challenging the neoliberal urban regime: Regeneration and resistance in Başbüyük and Tarlabası. Orienting Istanbul: Cultural Capital of Europe, 51-70. - D. Danış & Ebru Kayaalp (2005) "Bir Bağlamlandırma Denemesi: Göçmenlik Deneyimleri", İstanbul'da Kentsel Ayrışma: Mekânsal Dönüşümün Çeşitli Boyutları, (Der.) H. Kurtuluş, Bağlam Yayınları, İstanbul. - Utku Balaban. « Faburjuvazi ve İktidar: Yakın Türkiye Tarihinde Sınıf ve Siyasal İslam » Praksis, No.32, 2013/2 https://prezi.com/b9ngf_34daxs/a-conveyor-belt-of-flesh-identities-and-labor-in-the-making-of-industrial-spaces-in-istanbul-and-addis-ababa/ - Deniz Yoncu (2011) « Kapitalizm, Umutsuzluk ve Acılıyet» Red Thread. pp. 62-71 (also in English). - Lelandais, G. E. (2014), Space and Identity in Resistance against Neoliberal Urban Planning in Turkey. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 38: 1785-1806. - Oğuz Işık & Melih Pınarcıoğlu. (2009) "Segregation in Istanbul: Patterns and processes" Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie 100(4):469-484. - Keyder Ç. (Der.) İstanbul : Küresel ve Yerel Arasında, Metis, İstanbul, 2000. - Davis Mike , « La planète bidonville : involution urbaine et prolétariat informel », Mouvements, 2005/3 no 39-40, p. 9-24. - Kokoreff M., « Ghettos et marginalité urbaine. Lectures croisées de Didier Lapeyronnie et Loïc Wacquant », Revue française de sociologie 2009/3, V.50, p. 553-572.

- Wacquant L., « Les deux visages du ghetto : construire un concept sociologique », Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales, 2005/5 no 160, p. 4-21.
- Emmanuelle Le Texier « Barrios des États-Unis: des logiques d'exclusion aux logiques communautaires ? », Raisons politiques 3/2004 (no 15), p. 25-36.
www.cairn.info/revue-raisons-politiques-2004-3-page-25.htm.
- Uysal, Ü. E. (2012). An urban social movement challenging urban regeneration: The case of Sulukule, Istanbul. Cities, 29(1), 12-22.
- Foggo, H. (2007). The Sulukule affair: Roma against expropriation. Roma Rights Quarterly, 4, 41-47.
- Geniş, Ş. (2007). Producing elite localities: the rise of gated communities in Istanbul. Urban Studies, 44(4), 771-798.
- Bartu-Candan, A. and Biray Kollooğlu (2008) "Emerging Spaces of Neoliberalism: A Gated Town and a Public Housing Project in İstanbul." New Perspectives on Turkey, no. 39: 5-46
- Şerife Geniş, "Neo-liberal kentleşmenin mekânda yansımaları: İstanbul'da güvenlikli siteler" Toplum ve Bilim no. 116
- Thierry Pacquot « Qu'appelle-t-on 'ghettos de riches' ? » Ghettos des Riches : Tour du monde des enclaves résidentielles sécurisées, Perrin, Paris 2009 : pp.7-26.
- Lovering, J., & Türkmen, H. (2011). Bulldozer neo-liberalism in Istanbul: The state-led construction of property markets, and the displacement of the urban poor. International Planning Studies, 16(1), 73-96.
- Kuyucu, T., & Ünsal, Ö. (2010). 'Urban Transformation'as State-led Property Transfer: An Analysis of Two Cases of Urban Renewal in Istanbul. Urban Studies.
- Bidou-Zachariasen C. "Les classes moyennes : définitions, travaux et controverses » Éducation et Sociétés, n° 14/2004/2
- Fijalkow Yankel et Oberti Marco, « Urbanisme, embourgeoisement et mixité sociale à Paris », Mouvements, 2001/1 no13, p. 9-21.
- Sema Erder (1995). "Yeni Kentliler ve Kentin Yeni Yoksulları" Toplum ve Bilim, No.66, ss.106-119
- Turam, B. (2013), The Primacy of Space in Politics: Bargaining Rights, Freedom and Power in an İstanbul Neighborhood. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 37: 409-429.

Theory Topics

Week	Weekly Contents
------	-----------------